arXiv:gr-qc/0602056v4 29 Mar 2007

Periapsis and gravitomagnetic precessions of stellar orbits in Kerr and Kerr-de Sitter black hole spacetimes

G. V. Kraniotis [*][†]
George P. and Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics,
Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX 77843, USA

February 7, 2008

Abstract

The exact solution for the motion of a test particle in a non-spherical polar orbit around a Kerr black hole is derived. Exact novel expressions for frame dragging (Lense-Thirring effect), periapsis advance and the orbital period are produced. The resulting formulae, are expressed in terms of Appell's first hypergeometric function F_1, Jacobi's amplitude function, and Appell's F_1 and Gauß hypergeometric function respectively. The exact expression for frame dragging is applied for the calculation of the Lense-Thirring effect for the orbits of S-stars in the central arcsecond of our Galaxy assuming that the galactic centre is a Kerr black hole, for various values of the Kerr parameter including those supported by recent observations. In addition, we apply our solutions for the calculation of frame dragging and periapsis advance for stellar non-spherical polar orbits in regions of strong gravitational field close to the event horizon of the galactic black hole, e.g. for orbits in the central milliarcsecond of our galaxy. Such orbits are the target of the GRAVITY experiment. We provide examples with orbital periods in the range of 100min - 54 days. Detection of such stellar orbits will allow the possibility of measuring the relativistic effect of periapsis advance with high precision at the strong field realm of general relativity. Further, an exact closed form formula for the orbital period of a test particle in a non-circular equatorial motion around a Kerr black hole is produced. We also derive exact expressions for the periapsis advance and the orbital period for a test particle in a non-circular equatorial motion in the Kerr field in the presence of the cosmological constant in terms of Lauricella's fourth hypergeometric function F_D.

---

[*] kraniotis@physics.tamu.edu, MIFP-06-05.

[†] Present address:Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Arnold-Sommerfeld-Centre for Theoretical Physics,Theresienstraße 37, 80333, München, Germany, kraniotis@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Most of the celestial bodies deviate very little from spherical symmetry, and the Schwarzschild spacetime is an appropriate approximation for their gravitational field [2]. However, for some astrophysical bodies the rotation of the mass distribution cannot be neglected. A more general spacetime solution of the gravitational field equations should take this property into account. In this respect, the Kerr solution [3] represents, the curved spacetime geometry surrounding a rotating mass [4]. Moreover, the above solution is also important for probing the strong gravitational field regime of general relativity [5]. This is significant, since general relativity has triumphed in large-scale cosmology [8, 7, 9, 10], and in predicting solar system effects on planetary orbits like the perihelion precession of Mercury with a very high precision [1, 6] [1].

Due to their significance for black hole physics and for describing orbits around a rotating mass, exact solutions of Kerr geodesics with and without the contribution from the cosmological constant have been derived and studied extensively in recent years [11, 12]. However, few important classes of possible orbits were left out of the discussion in [11, 12].

An important such class is the case of timelike $non-spherical\ polar$ Kerr orbits. An essential property of such non-equatorial orbits in Kerr spacetime is the Lense-Thirring phenomenon or frame dragging: the Kerr rotation adds longitudinal dragging to the relativistic orbital precession in the Schwarzschild spacetime. Thus the orbital plane for such geodesics in Kerr spacetime is not fixed but rather is dragged by the rotation of central mass in sharp contrast with orbital solutions in the static Schwarzschild case. It is the purpose of the present work to derive the first exact solution of this important class of orbits in closed analytic form. Our exact analytic solutions provide closed form expressions for the orbit, Lense-Thirring effect, periapsis advance and the corresponding orbital period. Further our exact results allow to precisely calculate the Lense-Thirring period (frequency) for these non-zero eccentricity orbits. By construction our solutions are valid at all regions of gravitational strength from the strong to the weak gravitational field regime. Thus they are particularly important for probing the strong field realm of general relativity.

Another important class studied in this work for the first time is the case of $equatorial\ non-circular$ timelike orbits in the presence of the cosmological constant $\mathbf{\Lambda}$. In particular, we derive the exact solutions for the periapsis advance and the orbital period for a test particle in a non-circular equatorial motion in the Kerr-de Sitter gravitational field. The derived exact formulae in closed analytic form are important for the precise determination of the combined effects of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and the rotation of central mass on planetary orbits as well as for investigating the effect of the cosmological constant in black hole physics e.g. accretion physics for non-zero eccentricity trajectories.

---

[1] See also about the BepiColombo science mission on Mercury http://sci.esa.int/home/bepicolombo/.

Motivated by the observational evidence that the galactic centre region Sgr A^*, of Milky Way, is the best candidate for a supermassive rotating black hole [15, 16], we applied our solutions for non-spherical polar Kerr orbits in two situations a) for test particle stellar orbits near the outer horizon of the galactic centre black hole (strong field regime) b) for calculating the frame dragging of the observed orbits of S-stars in the central arcsecond of our galaxy (weak field regime).

Indeed observations of the galactic nucleus at the near-infrared and X-rays have provided a quite compelling evidence for the existence of a supermassive black hole of mass $M_{\rm BH} = (3-4)\times 10^6 M_\odot$ at the galactic centre, located at a distance of approximately 8Kpc [13, 14, 15, 16, 18] ($M_\odot$ denotes the mass of the Sun).

Further observations of near-infrared periodic flares have revealed that the central black hole is rotating so that the spacetime region near Sgr A^* is described by the Kerr geometry rather that the Schwarzschild static geometry, with the Kerr parameter [15]

$$\frac{J}{GM_{\rm BH}/c} = 0.52\ (\pm 0.1, \pm 0.08, \pm 0.08) \tag{1}$$

where the reported high-resolution infrared observations of Sgr A^* revealed 'quiescent' emission and several flares. These flare events last for about an 1 h, and their light-curves show significant variations on a typical scale of 17min. In the above equation J [2] denotes the angular momentum of the black hole (The error estimates here the uncertainties in the period, black hole mass and distance to the galactic centre, respectively; G is the gravitational constant and c the velocity of light.) This is half the maximum value for a Kerr black hole [24]. Observation of X-ray flares confirmed that the spin of the supermassive black hole is indeed substantial and values of the Kerr parameter as high as $a_{\rm Galactic} = 0.9939$ have been recently reported [16, 27]. Let us also mention that a hump of the locally non-rotating frames (LNRF) velocity profile has been found for accretion discs orbiting rapidly rotating Kerr black holes with spin $a > 0.9953$ for Keplerian discs [27] and $a > 0.99979$ for marginally stable discs [28] [3].

The material of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 and 3 deals with polar geodesics in a Kerr background while section 4 discusses equatorial geodesics in a (cosmological) Kerr geometry. In section 2 we derive the first exact orbital solution that describes the motion of a test particle in a non-spherical polar orbit in the Kerr gravitational field. More specifically, the exact expression in closed analytic form for the amount of frame dragging (Lense-Thirring) effect that a test particle undergoes is given in Eq.(12) of subsection 2.0.1, and its relativistic periapsis advance is given in Eq.(29) of subsection 2.0.2. In subsection 2.1 we derive an exact expression for the orbital period of a polar non-spherical Kerr orbit Eq.(33) which is important for defining the Lense-Thirring period Eq.(37). We subsequently apply our results to an important system for which observational data is available. Namely i) in 2.2 we calculate the frame dragging for the observed orbits of S-stars in the central arcsecond of our Galaxy assuming that the galactic centre is a rotating Kerr black hole. We calculated the Lense-Thirring periods for various values of the Kerr parameter and compared the result with estimated ages of the S-stars from spectroscopic studies. ii) We calculate in section 3.0.1 the frame dragging and periapsis advance for polar non-spherical Kerr orbits in the central milliarcsecond of our Galaxy. In section 4 we derive the exact solution for the relativistic periastron advance, Eq.(53), for the equatorial orbital non-circular motion of a test particle in the Kerr field in the presence of the cosmological constant. We also find the orbital period, Eq.(58), for equatorial non-circular orbits in the asymptotically flat Kerr black hole.

---

[2] $J = ca$ where a is the Kerr parameter. The interpretation of ca as the angular momentum per unit mass was first given by Boyer and Price [25]. In fact, by comparing with the Lense-Thirring calculations [26] they determined the Kerr parameter to be: $a = -\frac{2\Omega l^2}{5c}$, where Ω and l denote the angular velocity and radius of the rotating sphere.

[3] Such humpy equatorial orbital velocity profiles for stable, circular orbits, occur in a small radial range close to but above the marginally stable equatorial circular geodesic of the black hole [27, 28].

The angular resolutions required for measuring stellar orbits in the central milliarcsecond of Milky Way will be achievable by the General Relativity Analysis via VLT InTerferometrY (GRAVITY) experiment using Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) techniques [17]. The detection of such stellar cusp orbits, predicted by the theory [4], will allow a precise measurement of the relativistic effect of periapsis advance since the orbital periods are small and therefore will allow a test of the precise theory developed in this work, based on the exact solutions of the equations of General Relativity at the strong-field realm. A completely unexplored region of paramount importance for fundamental physics. We present examples of non-zero eccentricity trajectories with orbital periods in the range 100min-54 days. In a particular solution that will be presented in section 3.0.1 with orbital period of 53.6 days the phenomenon of relativistic periapsis advance is $19.8\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{yr}}$, already a very large effect, which therefore should be easily measurable with a high precision, after say one year of observation.

In a series of appendices we present some of our formal calculations as well as some background on Lauricella's hypergeometric function, the differential equations it obeys as well as its integral representation.

Taking into account the contribution from the cosmological constant, the generalization of the Kerr solution is described by the Kerr -de Sitter metric element which in Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates [5] is given by [30, 31]:

---

[4] Stellar counts expect an apparent magnitude in the range $17.5 \leq m_K \leq 19.5$ for stars within this region of the galactic centre.

[5] These coordinates have the advantage that reduce to the Schwarzschild solution with a cosmological constant in the limit $a \to 0$, see [29].

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \frac{\Delta_r}{\Xi^2\rho^2}\left(cdt - a\sin^2\theta d\phi\right)^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_r}dr^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta_\theta}d\theta^2 \\
&- \frac{\Delta_\theta \sin^2\theta}{\Xi^2\rho^2}\left(acdt - (r^2+a^2)d\phi\right)^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_r &:= (1 - \frac{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{3}r^2)(r^2+a^2) - \frac{2GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}r \\
\Delta_\theta &:= 1 + \frac{a^2\mathbf{\Lambda}}{3}\cos^2\theta \\
\Xi &:= 1 + \frac{a^2\mathbf{\Lambda}}{3}, \ \ \rho^2 := r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ denotes the cosmological constant, a is the Kerr (rotation) parameter and M_{BH} is the mass of the black hole.

The timelike geodesic equations in the presence of the cosmological constant have been derived in [11] by solving the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation with the method of separation of variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int^r \frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}} &= \pm \int^\theta \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \\
\rho^2 \frac{d\phi}{ds} = -\frac{\Xi^2}{\Delta_\theta \sin^2\theta}\left(aE\sin^2\theta - L\right) &+ \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_r}\left[(r^2+a^2)E - aL\right] \\
c\rho^2\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{\Xi^2(r^2+a^2)\left[(r^2+a^2)E - aL\right]}{\Delta_r} &- \frac{a\Xi^2(aE\sin^2\theta - L)}{\Delta_\theta} \\
\rho^2\frac{dr}{ds} &= \pm\sqrt{R} \\
\rho^2\frac{d\theta}{ds} &= \pm\sqrt{\Theta}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R &:= \Xi^2\left[(r^2+a^2)E - aL\right]^2 - \Delta_r\left(r^2 + Q + \Xi^2(L-aE)^2\right) \\
\Theta &:= \left[Q + (L-aE)^2\Xi^2 - a^2\cos^2\theta\right]\Delta_\theta - \Xi^2\frac{(aE\sin^2\theta - L)^2}{\sin^2\theta}
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

The constants of integration E, L are associated with the isometries of the Kerr metric. Carter's constant of integration is denoted by Q.

The geodesic differential equations (4), (5) reduce to the ones found by Carter [34] when we set the cosmological constant to zero.

2 Exact solution of non-spherical polar timelike Kerr geodesics

2.0.1 Frame dragging effect for polar non-spherical bound orbits

In this section we shall derive the exact solution for the important class of non-spherical polar orbits of a test particle in the gravitational field of a Kerr black hole. We shall first determine the change in the azimuthal angle ϕ after a complete radial oscillation for the case of non-spherical polar timelike geodesics, assuming a vanishing cosmological constant. This will allow us to calculate the resulting frame-dragging (Lense-Thirring effect). Thus we generalize our earlier results in which the exact solutions of the spherical polar timelike geodesics have been derived and applied for the determination of frame dragging (Lense-Thirring) effect for stellar orbirs close to the galactic centre, and for satellite orbits around the Earth [11, 12]. It has been shown [40] that a necessary condition for an orbit to be *polar* (meaning to intersect the symmetry axis of the Kerr gravitational field) is the vanishing of the parameter L, i.e . $L = 0$ [6]. The relevant differential equation for the calculation of frame dragging is

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{2\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}aEr}{\Delta\sqrt{R}} \tag{6}$$

where the quartic polynomial R, for non-spherical polar Kerr orbits, is given by (5) with $\mathbf{\Lambda}= 0, L = 0$. Also $\Delta := r^2 + a^2 - \frac{2GM_{\rm BH}r}{c^2}$. As in [12] we will use partial fractions for integrating equation (6) from periapsis distance r_P to apoapsis distance r_A. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\phi}{dr} &= \frac{A_+^P}{(r-r_+)\sqrt{R}} + \frac{A_-^P}{(r-r_-)\sqrt{R}} \\
&= \frac{A_+^P}{(r-r_+)\sqrt{(E^2-1)(r-\alpha)(r-\beta)(r-\gamma)(r-\delta)}} \\
&+ \frac{A_-^P}{(r-r_-)\sqrt{(E^2-1)(r-\alpha)(r-\beta)(r-\gamma)(r-\delta)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We denote the real roots of the quartic polynomial by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, $\alpha > \beta > \gamma > \delta$ and organize all roots in ascending order of magnitude as follows

$$\alpha_\rho > \alpha_\sigma > \alpha_\nu > \alpha_i \tag{8}$$

---

[6] Such orbits are also characterized by a non-vanishing value for the hidden first integral represented by Carter's constant Q.

where $\alpha_\rho = \alpha_\mu, \alpha_\sigma = \alpha_{\mu+1}, \alpha_\nu = \alpha_{\mu+2}, \alpha_i = \alpha_{\mu-i}, i = 1, 2, 3$ and we have that $\alpha_{\mu-1} \geq \alpha_{\mu-2} > \alpha_{\mu-3}$. By applying the transformation [7]

$$z = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{r' - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{r' - \alpha_{\mu+2}} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2}} \tag{10}$$

and after a dimensionless variable r' through $r = r' \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$ has been introduced, we can bring the radial integral which determines the amount of frame dragging that a non-spherical polar Kerr orbit undergoes in the general theory of relativity (GTR)

$$\Delta\phi^{\mathrm{GTR}} = 2 \int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{2 \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2} a E r}{\Delta \sqrt{R}} dr \tag{11}$$

into the familiar integral representation of Appell's first hypergeometric function of two variables F_1.

Indeed we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\phi^{\mathrm{GTR}} \quad = \quad & 2 \Bigg[-\frac{\omega^{3/2} A_+^P}{H_+} F_1 \left(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 2, \kappa_+^2, \mu^2 \right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\ + \quad & \frac{\omega^{1/2} A_+^P}{H_+} F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \kappa_+^2, \mu^2 \right) \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)} + \\ - \quad & \frac{\omega^{3/2} A_-^P}{H_-} F_1 \left(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 2, \kappa_-^2, \mu^2 \right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\ + \quad & \frac{\omega^{1/2} A_-^P}{H_-} F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \kappa_-^2, \mu^2 \right) \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)} \Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The function $F_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y)$ is the first of the four Appell's hypergeometric functions of two variables x, y [38] [8],

$$F_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha, m+n)(\beta, m)(\beta', n)}{(\gamma, m+n)(1, m)(1, n)} x^m y^n \tag{13}$$

which admits the following integral representation

$$\int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1}(1-u)^{\gamma-\alpha-1}(1-ux)^{-\beta}(1-uy)^{-\beta'} du = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} F_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta', \gamma, x, y) \tag{14}$$

---

[7] We have the correspondence: $\alpha_\mu = \alpha, \alpha_{\mu+1} = \beta, \alpha_{\mu+2} = \gamma, \alpha_{\mu-1} = \alpha_{\mu-2} = r'_\pm, \alpha_{\mu-3} = \delta$.

[8] The expression $(\lambda, \kappa) = \lambda(\lambda+1)\cdots(\lambda+\kappa-1)$, and the symbol $(\lambda, 0)$ represents 1.

The double series converges when $|x| < 1$ and $|y| < 1$. The above Euler integral representation is valid for $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\gamma - \alpha) > 0$. Also $\Gamma(p) = \int_0^\infty x^{p-1}e^{-x}dx$ denotes the gamma function. In addition

$$\begin{aligned} H_\pm : &= \sqrt{(1-E^2)}(\alpha_{\mu+1} - \alpha_{\mu-1})\sqrt{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}}\sqrt{\alpha_{\mu+1} - \alpha_{\mu-3}} \\ &= \sqrt{(1-E^2)}(\beta - r'_\pm)\sqrt{\alpha - \beta}\sqrt{\beta - \delta} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$A^P_\pm = -(\pm)\frac{2aEr'_\pm}{r'_- - r'_+} \tag{16}$$

The radii of the event horizon and the inner or Cauchy horizon, r_+, r_- respectively of the black hole are located at

$$r_\pm = \frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2 - a^2} \tag{17}$$

In equation (16) $r'_\pm := \frac{r_\pm}{\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}}$, a are the dimensionless horizon radii and spin of the black hole respectively.

The moduli, introduced in (12), are given in terms of the roots of the quartic and the horizon radii of the rotating black hole by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2_\pm &= \frac{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+2}}{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+1}} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - \gamma}\frac{r'_\pm - \gamma}{r'_\pm - \beta}, \\ \mu^2 &= \frac{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu-3} - \alpha_{\mu+2}}{\alpha_{\mu-3} - \alpha_{\mu+1}} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - \gamma}\frac{\delta - \gamma}{\delta - \beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Equation (12) represents the *first* exact formula of frame dragging (Lense-Thirring effect) that a test particle in a non-spherical polar bound orbit around a Kerr black hole undergoes. It represents a generalization of our previous results for test particles and photons in spherical polar orbits [11, 12].

2.0.2 Periapsis advance for non-spherical polar orbits

In this subsection we shall investigate the periastron advance for a non-spherical bound Kerr polar orbit, assuming a vanishing cosmological constant. We shall first obtain the exact solution for the orbit and then derive an exact closed form formula for the periapsis precession. The relevant differential equation is

$$\int^r \frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}} = \pm \int^\theta \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \tag{19}$$

where in (19), Θ and R are quantities defined, respectively, in (5) with $\mathbf{\Lambda}=0, L = 0$. Now applying the transformation (9) on the left hand side of equation

(19) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}} &= \frac{1}{\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}} \int \frac{dr'}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)(-)(r'-\alpha)(r'-\beta)(r'-\gamma)(r'-\delta)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}} \int \frac{dz\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)}\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\delta)}\sqrt{z(1-z)(1-\kappa^2 z)}} \qquad (20)\end{aligned}$$

where [9]

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta-\beta} = \omega\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta-\beta} \qquad (21)$$

By setting, $z = x^2$, we obtain the equation [10]

$$\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-\kappa^2x^2)}} = \frac{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{\alpha-\beta}\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}{2\sqrt{\omega}} \int \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \qquad (22)$$

Using the idea of *invertion* for the orbital elliptic integral on the left hand side we obtain

$$x = \mathrm{sn}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)}}{2}\int \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}}, \kappa^2\right) \qquad (23)$$

In terms of the original variables we derive the equation

$$r = \frac{\beta - \gamma\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}\mathrm{sn}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)}}{2}\int\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}},\kappa^2\right)}{1-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}\mathrm{sn}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)}}{2}\int\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}},\kappa^2\right)}\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2} \qquad (24)$$

Equation (24) represents the first exact solution that describes the motion of a test particle in a polar non-spherical bound orbit in the Kerr field in terms of Jacobi's sinus amplitudinous elliptic function. The function $\mathrm{sn}^2(y,\kappa^2)$ has period $2K(\kappa^2) = \pi F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right)$ which is also the period of r [11]. The Gauß hypergeometric function $F(\alpha_1,\beta_1,\gamma_1,x)$ is equal to $1+\frac{\alpha_1.\beta_1}{1.\gamma_1}x+\frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1)\beta_1(\beta_1+1)}{1.2.\gamma_1(\gamma_1+1)}x^2+\cdots$ [41]. This means that after one complete revolution the angular integration has to satisfy the equation

$$-\int\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}}\int\frac{\partial\Psi}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2\Psi}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right) \qquad (25)$$

where the latitude variable

$$\Psi := \pi/2-\theta \qquad (26)$$

---

[9] The roots of the quartic are organized as: $\alpha_\mu > \alpha_{\mu+1} > \alpha_{\mu+2} > \alpha_{\mu-3}$, and we have the correspondence $\alpha = \alpha_\mu, \beta = \alpha_{\mu+1}, \gamma = \alpha_{\mu+2}, \delta = \alpha_{\mu-3}$.

[10] The roots of the quartic and the angular integration are understood to be dimensionless in this equation.

[11] $K(\kappa^2)$ denotes the complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

has been introduced. Equation (25) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{dx'}{\sqrt{(1-x'^2)(1-\kappa'^2 x'^2)}} \\
&= \sqrt{Q}\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right) \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\kappa'^2 := \frac{a^2(1-E^2)}{Q} \qquad (28)$$

Also $x'^2 = \sin^2\Psi = \cos^2\theta$. Equation (27) determines the exact amount that the angular integration satisfies after a complete radial oscillation for a bound non-spherical polar orbit.

Now using the latitude variable Ψ the change in latitude after a complete radial oscillation leads to the following exact expression for the periastron advance for a test particle in a non-spherical polar Kerr orbit, assuming a vanishing cosmological constant,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\Psi^{\mathrm{GTR}} &= \Delta\Psi - 2\pi \\
&= am\left(\sqrt{Q}\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right),\frac{a^2(1-E^2)}{Q}\right) - 2\pi
\end{aligned} \qquad (29)$$

where the Abel-Jacobi's amplitude $am(\mu,\kappa'^2)$ is the function that inverts the elliptic integral

$$\int_0^{\Psi}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2\Psi}} = \mu \qquad (30)$$

in other words $\Psi = am(\mu,\kappa'^2)$. For those astrophysical applications for which the modulus $\kappa'^2 = \frac{a^2(1-E^2)}{Q} \to 0$, $\Delta\Psi^{\mathrm{GTR}} = \sqrt{Q}\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2,\right) - 2\pi$ [12]. If we assume an initial value Ψ_0 for the latitude variable Ψ different from zero then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= am\Bigg(\sqrt{Q}\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right) \\
&+ \sin\Psi_0\, F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\Psi_0,\kappa'^2\sin^2\Psi_0\right),\frac{a^2(1-E^2)}{Q}\Bigg)
\end{aligned} \qquad (31)$$

and $\Delta\Psi^{\mathrm{GTR}} = \delta\Psi - 2\pi = (\Psi-\Psi_0) - 2\pi$ [13].

The orbital solution of non-polar non-spherical geodesics is discussed in Appendix C.

---

[12] Alternatively this can be seen from the fact that $am(u,\kappa^2) = \mathrm{ArcSin}(\mathrm{sn}(u,\kappa^2))$ and $\mathrm{sn}(u,0) = \sin(u)$.

[13] $\int_0^{\Psi_0}\frac{d\Psi}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2(\Psi)}} = \sin\Psi_0 F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\psi_0,\kappa'^2\sin^2\Psi_0\right)$ see Appendix A.0.2 for the exact derivation of this angular integration.

2.1 Calculation of the orbital period in non-spherical polar Kerr geodesics

In this subsection we shall perform an exact calculation of the period P for the non-spherical polar orbit of a test particle in Kerr gravitational field. The relevant differential equation is

$$\frac{cdt}{dr} = \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta\sqrt{R}} E(r^2 + a^2) - \frac{a^2 E \sin^2 \theta}{\sqrt{R}} \tag{32}$$

and we integrate from periapsis to apoapsis and back to periapsis.

The physical quantity P, is an important relativistic parameter, since orbits with small period and close to the event horizon exhibit large relativistic effects which are easily measurable. The resulting expression has an elegant analytic form in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions of Appell and Gauß's hypergeometric function. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
ct \equiv c\mathrm{P} \quad = \quad & \frac{E\beta^2 2\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}}{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\Bigg[\frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)}{\Gamma(1)}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\kappa^2\right) \\
- \quad & \frac{2\omega\gamma}{\beta}\frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(2)}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},2,\omega,\kappa^2\right)+\frac{\gamma^2\omega^2}{\beta^2}\frac{\Gamma(5/2)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(3)}F_1\left(\frac{5}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},3,\omega,\kappa^2\right)\Bigg] \\
+ \quad & Ea^2 2\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\delta)}}F(1/2,1/2,1,\kappa^2)\frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)}{\Gamma(1)} \\
+ \quad & \frac{4EGM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1-E^2}}\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\delta)}}\left[\frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1)}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\kappa^2\right)\right. \\
- \quad & \left.\frac{\omega\gamma}{\beta}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\omega,\kappa^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\right] \\
+ \quad & \frac{4EG2M_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\delta)}}F(1/2,1/2,1,\kappa^2)\frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)}{\Gamma(1)} \\
+ \quad & \frac{4E2GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\left[-\frac{\omega^{3/2}A_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\right. \\
+ \quad & \frac{\omega^{1/2}A_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}+ \\
- \quad & \frac{\omega^{3/2}A_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
+ \quad & \left.\frac{\omega^{1/2}A_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\right] \\
+ \quad & \frac{-a^2E\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}}{\sqrt{Q}}\left[\sin(\varphi)F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa^{2\prime}\sin^2\varphi\right)\right. \\
+ \quad & \left\{-\sin(\varphi)F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa^{2\prime}\sin^2\varphi\right)\right. \\
+ \quad & \left.\left.\sin(\varphi)F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa^{2\prime}\sin^2\varphi\right)\right\}\times\frac{1}{\kappa^{2\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{33}
$$

where κ'^2 is given by (28) and

$$
\varphi = am\left(\sqrt{Q}\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right),\kappa'^2\right) \tag{34}
$$

Also

$$
A_+ := -\frac{a^2-2r'_+}{r'_- - r'_+},\ A_- := -\frac{-a^2+2r'_-}{r'_- - r'_+} \tag{35}
$$

and $H_{\pm} := \sqrt{(1-E^2)(\alpha-\beta)}(r'_{\pm}-\beta)\sqrt{\beta-\delta}$. The moduli (variables) of the hypergeometric function of Appell are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^2 = \kappa^2 &= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta-\beta} \\ \kappa_{\pm}^2 &= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}\frac{r'_{\pm}-\gamma}{r'_{\pm}-\beta}\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The Lense-Thirring Period (LTP), is defined in terms of Lense-Thirring's precession equation (12) and the orbital period P, equation (33), as follows

$$\text{LTP} := \frac{2\pi \text{P}}{\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}}} \tag{37}$$

therefore its expression in closed analytic form is given entirely in terms of the generalized hypergeometric function of Appell F_1 and Gauß's hypergeometric function F. It constitutes the first exact expression for this important parameter for non-spherical polar Kerr orbits.

2.2 Frame dragging of observed stellar orbits of S-stars

We wish now to apply our exact formula, equation (12) for the calculation of the gravitomagnetic effect (Lense-Thirring effect) for the orbits of S-stars in the central arcsecond of Milky Way assuming that the galactic centre region Sgr A* is a rotating Kerr black hole.

We note that the careful study and observation of three-dimensional stellar orbits of S-stars at the central arcsecond of Milky Way provided the first strong evidence for the existence of a supermassive black hole at the galactic centre region Sgr A* [13, 14, 15, 16, 18]. Indeed, fitting of Keplerian orbits with experimental data revealed that the focus of the ellipse is essentially located at the radio position of Sgr A* [14]. As a matter of fact, Schödel et al [13] and Ghez et al [14] have shown that the focus of the orbit of the S-star S2 is located within a few milli-arcseconds of the nominal radio position of Sgr A* [15] . The observed S-stars are B main-sequence stars as can be inferred from their H I Brγ absorption [16]. In particular S2 whose complete orbit has been observed appears to be a 15-20 $M_{\odot}$ main sequence O8-B0 dwarf star with an estimated age $t_{S2}^{age} < 10$Myr [14]. A remark is in action: since $m_S \ll M_{\text{BH}}$ i.e. the masses

---

[14] The J2000 equatorial coordinates of Sgr A* measured at 1996.25 were found to be at: R.A.=$17^{\text{h}}45^{\text{min}}40^{\text{s}}.0409$, decl.= $-29^{\circ}00'28''.118$ [21].

[15] As is explained in [22], the observed stellar orbits can lead to a more precise determination of the distance from the Sun to the centre of the galaxy. It is well known that the distance R_0 from the Sun to the galactic centre not only is important for determining the structure of Milky Way but also holds an important role in establishing the extra galactic distance scale. It also affects estimates of the dark matter in the Local Group by affecting the Andromeda infall speed [23].

[16] The wavelength of the Brackett-γ line is $\lambda(\text{Br}\gamma-\text{line}) = 2165.5\text{nm}$.

star	Q	E	$\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$	LTP(yr)	P (yr)
S1	71705.3106	0.999993919	$0.0702036 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	1.73×10^9	93.9
S2	5693.30424	0.999979485	$3.14297 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	6.25×10^6	15.15
S8	9238.88965	0.999992385	$1.51944 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	5.71×10^7	67.0
S12	10641.9649	0.999991213	$1.22889 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	5.70×10^7	54.05
S13	36897.1620	0.999988560	$0.190214 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.48×10^8	36.4
S14	5321.06355	0.999988863	$3.47912 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	1.41×10^7	37.9

Table 1: Predictions for Lense-Thirring effect $\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$, LTP and orbital period P for the observed orbits of S-stars around the galactic centre rotating supermassive black hole. The Kerr parameter was chosen to be $a_{\rm Galactic} = 0.52\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$.The values of Carter's constant Q are in units of $\frac{G^2M_{\rm BH}^2}{c^4}$.

star	Q	E	$\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$	LTP(yr)	P(yr)
S1	71705.3106	0.999993919	$0.134183 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	9.07×10^8	93.9
S2	5693.30424	0.999979485	$6.0073 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	3.27×10^6	15.15
S8	9238.88965	0.999992385	$2.90417 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.99×10^7	67.0
S12	10641.9649	0.999991213	$2.34883 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.98×10^7	54.05
S13	36897.1620	0.999988560	$0.363565 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	1.3×10^8	36.4
S14	5321.06355	0.999988863	$6.64981 \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	7.39×10^6	37.9

Table 2: Predictions for Lense-Thirring effect $\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$, LTP and orbital period P for the observed orbits of S-stars around the rotating galactic centre black hole. The Kerr parameter was chosen to be $a_{\rm Galactic} = 0.9939\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$. The values of Carter's constant Q are in units of $\frac{G^2M_{\rm BH}^2}{c^4}$.

of S-stars are very small compared to the central black hole mass the effect of their gravitational fields on the black hole's gravitational field is negligible. Therefore the approximation that they move on a timelike geodesic of the four dimensional world is entirely justifiable and consistent . They can be treated as test particles.

We now proceed to calculate, using our exact analytic solutions, the Lense-Thirring effect and the corresponding Lense-Thirring period for the observed orbits of S-stars: S1,S2,S8,S12, S13,S14 for various values of the Kerr parameter. These orbits have been measured by Eisenhauer et al [18] at near-infrared, with the data taken with the new adaptive optics-assisted integral-field spectrometer SINFONI on the European Southern Observatory (ESO) Very-Large-Telescope (VLT). Orbital data for the semi-major axis **a** and the eccentricity **e** of the corresponding Keplerian orbits are listed in [18]. Our motivation for this application was to investigate if the dragging of the orbital plane by the Lense-Thirring effect can help in understanding the observed distribution of the orbital planes

star	Q	E	$\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$	LTP(yr)	P(yr)
S1	71705.3106	0.999993919	$0.134372\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	9.05×10^8	93.9
S2	5693.30424	0.999979485	$6.01576\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	3.26×10^6	15.15
S8	9238.88965	0.999992385	$2.90826\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.98×10^7	67.0
S12	10641.9649	0.999991213	$2.35213\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.978×10^7	54.05
S13	36897.1620	0.999988560	$0.364077\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	1.295×10^8	36.4
S14	5321.06355	0.999988863	$6.65917\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	7.37×10^6	37.9

Table 3: Predictions for Lense-Thirring effect $\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$, LTP and orbital period P for the observed orbits of S-stars around the rotating galactic centre black hole. The Kerr parameter was chosen to be $a_{\rm Galactic} = 0.9953\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$. The values of Carter's constant Q are in units of $\frac{G^2M_{\rm BH}^2}{c^4}$.

star	Q	E	$\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$	LTP(yr)	P(yr)
S1	71705.3106	0.999993919	$0.134978\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	9.01×10^8	93.9
S2	5693.30424	0.999979485	$6.042901\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	3.25×10^6	15.15
S8	9238.88965	0.999992385	$2.92138\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.97×10^7	67.0
S12	10641.9649	0.999991213	$2.36275\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.96×10^7	54.05
S13	36897.1620	0.999988560	$0.36572\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	1.29×10^8	36.4
S14	5321.06355	0.999988863	$6.68921\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	7.34×10^6	37.9

Table 4: Predictions for Lense-Thirring effect $\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$, LTP and orbital period P for the observed orbits of S-stars around the rotating galactic centre black hole. The Kerr parameter was chosen to be $a_{\rm Galactic} = 0.99979\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$. The values of Carter's constant Q are in units of $\frac{G^2M_{\rm BH}^2}{c^4}$.

star	Q	E	$\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$	LTP(yr)	P(yr)
S1	65431.43821	0.999993545	$0.15394\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	7.22×10^8	85.8
S2	5273.53220	0.999979145	$6.73964\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.84×10^6	14.8
S8	6524.03518	0.999991945	$4.89646\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	1.63×10^7	61.6
S12	9730.48589	0.999990853	$2.68671\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	2.45×10^7	50.9
S13	26279.90639	0.99998444	$0.604888\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	4.91×10^7	22.9
S14	4204.76359	0.999987653	$9.47151\ \frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$	4.43×10^6	32.4

Table 5: Predictions for Lense-Thirring effect $\Delta\phi^{\rm GTR}$, LTP and orbital period P for the observed orbits of S-stars around the rotating galactic centre black hole. The Kerr parameter was chosen to be $a_{\rm Galactic} = 0.9939\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$. The values of Carter's constant Q are in units of $\frac{G^2M_{\rm BH}^2}{c^4}$.

for the S-stars. The parameters of the exact theory of non-spherical polar orbits developed in the previous sections are the invariant parameters Q, E, the spin and the mass of the galactic black hole, a, $M_{\rm BH}$ respectively. For the black hole mass we adopt a value: $M_{\rm BH} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. The choice of values for the invariant parameters is restricted by requiring that the predictions of the theory for the periastron, apoastron distances and the period P are in agreement with the orbital data in [18]. We present our results for four different values of the Kerr parameter in Tables 1-4 [17] respectively [18] . Indeed as we can see the stars S2 and S14 have LTP's of the right size as compared with estimations of their age from spectroscopic analysis and the average stellar ages in the two outer star rings/discs [19]. According to [19] it is estimated that the stellar discs in the galactic centre have formed about 6 ± 2 Myr ago. Thus S2 and S14 because their LTPs are comparable to the average ages of the stellar contents of the discs could have originated from the stellar discs before the Lense-Thirring dragging brought them where they are currently observed. The LTP's decrease with higher values of the spin of the black hole. Our results in Table 1 agree with the results in [18], where the Lense-Thirring periods were calculated for $a = 0.52$ using a weak field approximation formula [20]. This is a nice check of the weak field limit of the exact theory.

We repeated the analysis for $a = 0.9939$ but for different values of the invariant parameters Q, E. This choice is still consistent with experimental data for the semi-major axis **a** and eccentricity **e** quoted in [18]. The results are presented in Table 5. Now we see that also the LTP for S8 is of the right magnitude. Thus we can conclude that the Lense-Thirring effect can help in understanding the observed distribution of the orbital planes for some of the S-stars but perhaps for not all of them [19]. On the other hand when more precise astrometric data will become available for all of the S-stars one should try to fit the experimental raw data using the relativistic geodesic equations of motion and the exact results obtained in this work, assuming that the galactic centre is a Kerr black hole, rather than try to fit the data with Keplerian orbits.

Our choice of value for the black hole mass is consistent with the best fit central mass (for a distance R_0 to the galactic centre of 8Kpc) in [18]. If we try to fit the data for the periastron and apoastron distances in [18] with a smaller black hole mass we find that the orbital period P increases. In particular, adopting the value $M_{\rm BH} = 3.59 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ reproducing the measured values for r_P, r_A [18] for the star S2 results in P> 15.6yr in conflict with experiment [18].

---

[17] In tables 3,4 we have calculated the Lense-Thirring precessions for the values of the spin of the black hole for which the Aschenbach effect (hump of the LNRF-velocity profile) becomes to be relevant a=0.9953 for Keplerian discs [27] and a=0.99979 for marginallly stable discs respectively [28].

[18] The periapsis advance for the S-stars with the initial conditions as those in tables 1, 2 have been calculated, using the exact closed formula eq.(29), in appendix E, table 19.

[19] See [19] for a recent summary of attempts to explain the youth of S-stars.

parameters	**Periastron**	**Apoastron**	**predicted dragging**
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9939$, $E = 0.956853, Q = 13$	5.51905	14.5373	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 1.08552 = 223905 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $= 62.19°$per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.52$, $E = 0.956853, Q = 13$	4.80864	14.5620	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 1.0349 = 213464 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $= 59.29°$per revolution

Table 6: Predictions for frame dragging $\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}}$ from galactic black hole for a timelike non-spherical polar stellar orbit for two different values of the Kerr parameter a_{Galactic}. Carter's constant is fixed at $Q = 13 \frac{G^2 M_{\text{BH}}^2}{c^4}$ while $E = 0.956853$. The values of the radii and Kerr parameter are in units of GM_{BH}/c^2.

3 Zooming in on the galactic centre black hole

3.0.1 Frame dragging and periapsis advance of non-spherical polar orbits in the central milliarcsecond of Sgr A*

In this subsection we shall apply our exact formulae for the calculation of the relativistic periastron advance and frame dragging for non-spherical polar orbits in the central milliarcsecond of the galactic centre of Milky Way. These cusp orbits are the target of the GRAVITY experiment and will provide an interesting enviroment for probing stellar dynamics in the strong-field regime of General Relativity [20].

Assuming that the centre of Milky Way is a rotating black hole and the spacetime near the region Sgr A* is described by the Kerr geometry, we calculated using (12) the precise frame dragging of a timelike stellar orbit with a non-spherical polar geometry. The results are displayed in tables 6 and 7. The periods for the orbits in table 7 were calculated to be: 6.443×10^3 s, 6.359×10^3 s for $a = 0.52 \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}, 0.9939 \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$ respectively, assuming a galactic black hole mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.060 \times 10^6 M_\odot$.

Let us give subsequently an example of a non-spherical stellar polar orbit of low eccentricity. The results are exhibited in table 8.

Using the exact formula, equation (29), the periastron advance for the orbits with initial conditions displayed in table 8 were calculated. Our results are summarized in table 9. Thus, the closer we get to the galactic centre the relativistic effect of periapsis advance becomes very substantial. We observe that the effect increases with decreasing Kerr parameter. The semi-major axis $\alpha = \frac{r_P + r_A}{2} \sim 110 \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2} = 55 R_s$ corresponds to an angle of observation $\sim 0.54 mas = 540 \mu as$ (1mas$\equiv 10^{-3}$ arcs)[21]. The calculated orbital period P is 1.72 days. Measurement of such orbits and the corresponding relativistic effects

---

[20] GRAVITY is an adaptive optics (AO) assisted, near-infrared VLTI instrument for precision narrow-angle astrometry and interferometric phase referenced imaging of faint objects. With an accuracy of 10 micro-arcseconds (10μas), GRAVITY will be able to study motions to within a few times the event horizon size of the Galactic centre black hole.

[21] We assume a black hole mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ and a galactic centre distance of 8.0Kpc.

parameters	**Periastron**	**Apoastron**	**predicted dragging**
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9939,$ $E = 0.956853, Q = 14$	7.90470	12.5692	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.6477498 = 133608 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =37.11°per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.52,$ $E = 0.956853, Q = 14$	7.66620	12.6339	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.366361 = 75567.5 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =20.991°per revolution

Table 7: Predictions for frame dragging from galactic black hole for timelike non-spherical polar stellar orbit for the same values for the Kerr parameter and E as in table 6 but for a value of Carter's constant $Q = 14 \frac{G^2 M_{\text{BH}}^2}{c^4}$. The values of the radii and Kerr parameter are in units of GM_{BH}/c^2.

parameters	**Periastron**	**Apoastron**	**predicted dragging**
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.99979,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.0112114 = 2312.52 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =0.642368°per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.99616,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.0111707 = 2304.13 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =0.640036°per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9953,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.0111611 = 2302.14 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =0.639484°per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9939,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.0111454 = 2298.9 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =0.638584°per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.52,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.697	112.227	$\Delta\phi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.00583177 = 1202.89 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ =0.334136°per revolution

Table 8: Predictions for frame dragging from a galactic black hole for timelike non-spherical polar stellar *low-eccentricity* orbits. The values of the radii and Kerr parameter are in units of GM_{BH}/c^2, while those of Carter's constant Q in units of $(GM_{\text{BH}}/c^2)^2$.

parameters	r_P	r_A	**predicted periastron advance**
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.99979,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\Psi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.178388 = 36795.2\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $=10.2209°$per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.99616,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\Psi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.178391 = 36795.9\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $=10.2211°$per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9953,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\Psi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.178392 = 36796.0\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $=10.2211°$per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9939,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.703	112.222	$\Delta\Psi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.178393 = 36796.3\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $=10.2212°$per revolution
$a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.52,$ $E = 0.9954853, Q = 113$	107.697	112.227	$\Delta\Psi^{\text{GTR}} = 0.178724 = 36864.4\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$ $=10.2401°$per revolution

Table 9: Predictions for periastron advance from a galactic black hole for time-like non-spherical, polar, stellar low eccentricity orbit. The values of the radii and Kerr parameter are in units of GM_{BH}/c^2, while those of Carter's constant Q in units of $(GM_{\text{BH}}/c^2)^2$.

close to the outer event horizon r_+ of the candidate galactic black hole can provide an important test of the theory of general relativity at the strong field regime.

A very interesting example of a stellar polar non-spherical orbit is presented in Table 10. We present the orbital parameters for two choices of the spin of the black hole. The rest of the initial conditions are $Q = 380\frac{G^2M_{\text{BH}}^2}{c^4}, E = 0.99954853$. The angular resolution of the periapsis r_P is $\sim$ 1mas. We see from the results in the table that the relativistic phenomenon of periapsis precession is quite substantial and it amounts to $\frac{19.8°}{\text{yr}}$ with the orbital period of only 53.6 days. For the convenience of the reader we also list the periapsis precession and Lense-Thirring effect after one revolution as well as the Lense-Thirring period. As we mentioned, VLBI observations aim to detect such cusp stellar orbits which are closer to the candidate black hole than the observed S-stars. The periapsis precession in the example we discuss should be measured with a good precision after one year of observations. We repeated the analysis for higher values of the spin of the black hole and the results are dislayed in table 11.

One might also wonder about the tidal effects on the orbits of the stars we just discussed. We can estimate these effects as follows: tidal disruption of the star with mass m_* and radius R_* is expected to occur only if the star approaches the black hole to within its Roche radius

$$r_{\text{R}} = \left(\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{m_*}\right)^{1/3} R_* \tag{38}$$

For a star like our Sun with $R_* = R_\odot$ and $m_* = M_\odot$ and for a galactic black hole mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ the Roche radius is calculated to be $r_{\text{R}} = 18.5\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. Thus the ratio of the periastron radius to the Roche radius for the orbits presented in tables 9,11 is 5.8 and 11.2 respectively. Consequently, any

Orbital parameters	**Case 1: a=0.52**	**Case 2: a=0.99616**
r_P	$207.628\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$	$207.628\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$
r_A	$2005.837\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$	$2005.837\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$
Periapsis advance(arcs/rev.)	10460.03	10454.7
Frame dragging (arcs/rev)	186.626	357.513
Periapsis advance ($\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{yr}}$) [13] Assumes $M_{\mathrm{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_\odot$	19.8	19.8
L.T. effect ($\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{yr}}$)	0.353	0.675
Orbital Period P (days)	53.6	53.6
L.T. Period (yr)	1.02×10^3	533

Table 10: Calculation of orbital data and the corresponding relativistic effects for a cusp polar non-spherical stellar orbit, around the galactic black hole, for two different values of the Kerr parameter with $Q = 380\frac{G^2M_{\mathrm{BH}}^2}{c^4}, E = 0.99954853$.

Orbital parameters	**a=0.9953**	**a=0.99979**
r_P	$207.628\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$	$207.628\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$
r_A	$2005.837\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$	$2005.837\ \frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}$
Periapsis advance(arcs/rev.)	10454.8	10454.7
Frame dragging (arcs/rev)	357.204	358.815
Periapsis advance ($\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{yr}}$) [13] Assumes $M_{\mathrm{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_\odot$	19.8	19.8
L.T. effect ($\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{yr}}$)	0.675	0.679
Orbital Period P (days)	53.6	53.6
L.T. Period (yr)	533	531

Table 11: Calculation of orbital data and the corresponding relativistic effects for a cusp polar non-spherical stellar orbit, around the galactic black hole, for two different values of the Kerr parameter with $Q = 380\frac{G^2M_{\mathrm{BH}}^2}{c^4}, E = 0.99954853$.

tidal effects are small for a solar-type star in orbit around the galactic centre black hole according to the data in tables 9, 11 and we do not expect significant mass loss. If a star similar to S2 with $m_* = 15M_\odot$, $R_* = 7R_\odot$ is in a polar orbit in the central mas of Sgr A* according to the data in tables 9, 11 the ratio $\frac{r_P}{r_\mathrm{R}}$ is calculated to be 2.05 and 3.95 respectively. For a neutron star with mass $\sim 1.4M_\odot$ and radius $\sim$ 10Km in a non-spherical polar trajectory with the orbital characteristics of tables 9-11 the tidal effects are negligible.

On the other hand in order to investigate more precisely the tidal interaction of a star in a high eccentricity orbit around the supermassive galactic centre black hole a full relativistic treatment is required. Such an analysis which will involve the calculation of the tidal tensor for non-spherical polar, equatorial or arbitrary orbital inclination test particle trajectories with or without the cosmological constant is however beyond the scope of the current work. It is a promising avenue for future research since the tidal interaction of a star by supermassive black hole may lead to observable effects [36].

4 Periastron precession of non-circular equatorial orbits around a central rotating mass in the presence of a cosmological constant

We now proceed to derive exact expressions for the periastron advance and orbital period for a timelike non-circular orbit in the Kerr field in the presence of a cosmological constant. In the former case the relevant differential equation is [22]

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{-\Xi^2(aE-L)}{\sqrt{R}} + \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_r\sqrt{R}}\left[(r^2+a^2)E - aL\right] \tag{39}$$

In (39) R is defined in (5) with $Q = 0$.

For the derivation of an analytical exact expression of periastron advance for the equatorial orbit of a test particle in Kerr-de Sitter spacetime we need to calculate the following Abelian integrals

$$\Delta\phi = 2\left[\int_{r_A}^{r_P} \frac{-\Xi^2(aE-L)}{\sqrt{R}}dr + \int_{r_A}^{r_P} \frac{a\Xi^2}{\Delta_r\sqrt{R}}\left[(r^2+a^2)E - aL\right]dr\right] \tag{40}$$

Let us now calculate the above Abelian integrals. The first integral is in the general case (when all roots are distinct) a hyperelliptic integral. By applying the transformation

$$z = \frac{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}} \frac{r - \alpha_\mu}{r - \alpha_{\mu-1}} \tag{41}$$

and organizing the roots of the polynomial R as follows

$$\alpha_\nu > \alpha_\mu > \alpha_\rho > \alpha_i \tag{42}$$

---

[22] Setting $Q = 0, \theta = \pi/2$ in the relevant equations in equations 5.

where $\alpha_\nu = \alpha_{\mu-1}, \alpha_\rho = \alpha_{\mu+1} = r_P, \alpha_\mu = r_A, \alpha_i = \alpha_{\mu+i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3$, our genus 2 hyperelliptic integral is reduced to the integral representation of Lauricella's fourth, generalized hypergeometric function of three variables F_D [37] [23]. More precisely we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{r_A}^{r_P} \frac{-\Xi^2(aE-L)}{\sqrt{R}}dr &= \frac{-\Xi^2(aE-L)}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H}\sqrt{\omega}\Bigg[-F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma(1/2)^2}{\Gamma(1)} \\ &+ \omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2)}\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where

$$H := \sqrt{(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1})(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2})(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+3})(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+4})} \tag{44}$$

and ω is now defined as

$$\omega := \frac{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+1}} \tag{45}$$

In addition we have defined the dimensionless quantity Λ from the relation $\Lambda := \mathbf{\Lambda}(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2})^2$. The variables of the hypergeometric function F_D are given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 &= \frac{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+1}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+2}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2}} = \omega\frac{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+2}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2}} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1 - \beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1 - \gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} \\ \lambda^2 &= \omega\frac{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+3}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+3}} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1 - \beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha} \\ \mu^2 &= \omega\frac{\alpha_{\mu-1} - \alpha_{\mu+4}}{\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+4}} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1 - \beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1 - r_\Lambda^2}{\alpha - r_\Lambda^2}\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Now for the second integral in (40) we use partial fraction expansion

$$\frac{a\Xi^2\left[(r^2+a^2)E - aL\right]}{\Delta_r} = \frac{A^1}{r - r_\Lambda^+} + \frac{A^2}{r - r_\Lambda^-} + \frac{A^3}{r - r_+} + \frac{A^4}{r - r_-} \tag{47}$$

---

[23] See Appendix B for its definition, the differential equations it obeys and its integral representation.

For the coefficients $A^i, i = 1, \cdots 4$ we obtain the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
A^1 &= \frac{-3a^2\Xi^2\left[aE - L + E\alpha_1^2\right]}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\alpha_1-\delta_1)\Lambda} \\
A^2 &= \frac{3a^2\Xi^2\left[aE - L + E\delta_1^2\right]}{(\alpha_1-\delta_1)(\delta_1-\beta_1)(\delta_1-\gamma_1)\Lambda} \\
A^3 &= \frac{3a^2\Xi^2\left[aE - L + E\beta_1^2\right]}{(-\alpha_1+\beta_1)(-\beta_1+\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\delta_1)\Lambda} \\
A^4 &= \frac{-3a^2\Xi^2\left[aE - L + E\gamma_1^2\right]}{(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\gamma_1)(\gamma_1-\delta_1)\Lambda}
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where we have defined

$$r_\Lambda^+ = \alpha_1, r_+ = \beta_1, r_- = \gamma_1, r_\Lambda^- = \delta_1 \tag{49}$$

for the roots of the polynomial Δ_r. Proceeding in a similar way as before we transform the Abelian integrals into the familiar integral representation of Lauricella's function F_D. For instance for the integral $\int_{r_A}^{r_P} \frac{A_4}{(r-r_-)}\frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}}$ we derive an exact expression in terms of Lauricella's function F_D of four variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{r_A}^{r_P} \frac{A_4}{(r-r_-)}\frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}} &= \frac{A_4\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H_-}\left[F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2,\nu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\right. \\
&+ \omega^2 F_D\left(\frac{5}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},3,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2,\nu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(3)} \\
&- \left. 2\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2,\nu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In equation (50) the four moduli are given in terms of the roots of the polynomial R and the radii of the black hole horizons by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2 &= \frac{\alpha_\mu-\alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_{\mu-1}-\alpha_{\mu+1}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu+2}-\alpha_{\mu-1}}{\alpha_{\mu+2}-\alpha_\mu} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha} \\
\lambda^2 &= \frac{\alpha_\mu-\alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_{\mu-1}-\alpha_{\mu+1}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu+3}-\alpha_{\mu-1}}{\alpha_{\mu+3}-\alpha_\mu} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_--r_\Lambda^1}{r_--\alpha} \\
\mu^2 &= \frac{\alpha_\mu-\alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_{\mu-1}-\alpha_{\mu+1}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu-1}}{\alpha_\mu} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha} \\
\nu^2 &= \frac{\alpha_\mu-\alpha_{\mu+1}}{\alpha_{\mu-1}-\alpha_{\mu+1}}\frac{\alpha_{\mu+6}-\alpha_{\mu-1}}{\alpha_{\mu+6}-\alpha_\mu} = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

while H_- is defined to be:

$$\begin{aligned} H_- &:= \sqrt{(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+1})(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+2})}(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+3})\sqrt{\alpha_\mu(\alpha_\mu - \alpha_{\mu+6})} \\ &= \sqrt{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \gamma)}(\alpha - r_-)\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha - r_\Lambda^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

In total we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} &= 2\Bigg\{\frac{-\Xi^2(aE-L)}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H}\sqrt{\omega} \\
&\times \Bigg[-F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1-\gamma}{\alpha-\gamma},\frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1-r_\Lambda^2}{\alpha-r_\Lambda^2}\right)\frac{\Gamma(1/2)^2}{\Gamma(1)} \\
&+ \omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1-\gamma}{\alpha-\gamma},\frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\frac{\alpha-\beta}{r_\Lambda^1-\beta}\frac{r_\Lambda^1-r_\Lambda^2}{\alpha-r_\Lambda^2}\right)\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2)}\Bigg] \\
&+ \frac{A^1\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H_\Lambda^+} \\
&\times \Bigg[\omega^2 F_D\left(\frac{5}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},3,\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^+-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^+-\alpha},\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(3)} \\
&- 2\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^+-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^+-\alpha},\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^+-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^+-\alpha},\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\Bigg] \\
&+ \frac{A^2\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H_\Lambda^-} \\
&\times \Bigg[\omega^2 F_D\left(\frac{5}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,3,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^--r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^--\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(3)} \\
&- 2\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,2,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^--r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^--\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,1,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^--r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^--\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\Bigg] \\
&+ \frac{A^3\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H_+} \\
&\times \Bigg[\omega^2 F_D\left(\frac{5}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},3,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_+-r_\Lambda^1}{r_+-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(3)} \\
&- 2\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_+-r_\Lambda^1}{r_+-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_+-r_\Lambda^1}{r_+-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)} \\
&+ \frac{A^4\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H_-} \\
&\times \Bigg[\omega^2 F_D\left(\frac{5}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},3,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_--r_\Lambda^1}{r_--\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(3)} \\
&- 2\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_--r_\Lambda^1}{r_--\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\omega\frac{\gamma-r_\Lambda^1}{\gamma-\alpha},\omega\frac{r_--r_\Lambda^1}{r_--\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^1}{\alpha},\omega\frac{r_\Lambda^2-r_\Lambda^1}{r_\Lambda^2-\alpha}\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

where the $H_+, H_\Lambda^+, H_\Lambda^-$ are given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &= \sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha-\gamma)}(\alpha-r_+)\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha-r_\Lambda^2)} \\ H_\Lambda^+ &= (\alpha-r_\Lambda^+)\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha-\gamma)\alpha(\alpha-r_\Lambda^2)} \\ H_\Lambda^- &= (\alpha-r_\Lambda^-)\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha-\gamma)\alpha(\alpha-r_\Lambda^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The periastron advance is then given by the expression

$$\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi \tag{55}$$

The phenomenological applications of equations (53) and (55) will be performed systematically in a future publication [24].

4.1 Exact, closed form formula, for the orbital period of equatorial non-circular Kerr geodesics

In this subsection we assume vanishing cosmological constant. For equatorial orbits Q vanishes and $\theta=\pi/2$. The relevant differential equation for the calculation of the orbital period $\mathrm{P_E}$ for equatorial non-circular Kerr geodesics is

$$c\frac{dt}{dr} = \frac{(r^2+a^2)[E(r^2+a^2)-aL]}{\Delta\sqrt{R}} + \frac{a(L-aE)}{\sqrt{R}} \tag{56}$$

Then we want to calculate exactly the following definite integral

$$ct = 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{(r^2+a^2)[E(r^2+a^2)-aL]}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr + 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{a(L-aE)}{\sqrt{R}}dr \tag{57}$$

In (56),(57) the quartic polynomial R is defined in (5) with $\mathbf{\Lambda}=0, Q=0$. The idea is to use the appropriate transformation and bring the above radial integral into the integral representation of generalized hypergeometric function of Appell

---

[24] The calculation for the corresponding orbital period is outlined in appendix D.

F_1. We then obtain [25]

$$
\begin{aligned}
ct \equiv c\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{E}} \quad &= \quad \frac{E\beta^2 2\frac{GM_{BH}}{c^2}}{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\Bigg[\frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)}{\Gamma(1)}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\kappa^2\right) \\
&- \quad \frac{2\omega\gamma}{\beta}\frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(2)}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},2,\omega,\kappa^2\right)+\frac{\gamma^2\omega^2}{\beta^2}\frac{\Gamma(5/2)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(3)}F_1\left(\frac{5}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},3,\omega,\kappa^2\right)\Bigg] \\
&+ \quad \frac{2E2GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1-E^2}}\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\delta)}}\Bigg[\frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1)}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\kappa^2\right) \\
&- \quad \frac{\omega\gamma}{\beta}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\omega,\kappa^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\Bigg] \\
&+ \quad \frac{4E2GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\delta)}}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)}{\Gamma(1)} \\
&+ \quad \frac{4E2GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\Bigg[-\frac{\omega^{3/2}A_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ \quad \frac{\omega^{1/2}A_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}+ \\
&- \quad \frac{\omega^{3/2}A_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ \quad \frac{\omega^{1/2}A_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\Bigg] \\
&+ \quad aL2\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}}{c^2}\Bigg[-\frac{\omega^{3/2}A'_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ \quad \frac{\omega^{1/2}A'_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}+ \\
&- \quad \frac{\omega^{3/2}A'_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\
&+ \quad \frac{\omega^{1/2}A'_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{58}
$$

where

$$A'_+ := \frac{-2r'_+}{r'_- - r'_+},\ A'_- := \frac{2r'_-}{r'_- - r'_+} \tag{59}$$

and $A_\pm$ are given by equation (35). Also $r'_\pm := 1 \pm \sqrt{1-a^2}$, a are the dimensionless horizon radii and spin of the black hole respectively. Equation (58) is the *first exact* expression in *closed analytic form* of the period of a test particle in a non-circular equatorial orbit around a Kerr black hole in terms of Appell's generalized hypergeometric function F_1 and Gauß's ordinary hypergeometric function. It constitutes a generalization of the Keplerian period for a circular equatorial orbit of radius r in the Kerr field [35] (see also Eq.(105) [11] for $\Lambda = 0$)

---

[25] See appendix A for some details of the calculation.

$$t = 2\pi \frac{a\sqrt{\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}} \pm r^{3/2}}{c\sqrt{\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}}} \tag{60}$$

Therefore equation (58) is of paramount importance for calculating the orbital and periapsis precession periods for non-zero eccentricity equatorial orbits of test particles in the vicinity of the horizon r_+ of the Kerr black hole in the strong-field regime of general relativity. In addition, it may be of importance for the phenomenology of quasi periodic oscillation (QPO's) frequencies [39, 27] and accretion physics.

4.1.1 Examples of equatorial non-circular orbits with short orbital period

In this subsection we calculate the periapsis advance for equatorial non-circular orbits with short orbital periods in the asymptotically flat Kerr black hole. In this case the contribution of the spin of the black hole to the relativistic effect of periapsis advance is maximized as compares to the polar orbits. Consequently, a precise measurement of the periapsis advance can lead to an independent measurement of the spin of the black hole. The exact expression for the periapsis advance for the orbit of a test particle in a non-circular equatorial motion around a Kerr black hole has been derived in [12] and it involves the hypergeometric function F_1 of Appell [26]. By applying this formula for the choices of initial conditions $L = \sqrt{380}\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}, E = 0.99954853$ and for two values of the Kerr parameter we obtain the results displayed in Table 12. For the calculation of the equatorial orbital period $\mathrm{P_E}$, eq.(58), for this high-eccentricity equatorial orbit we assumed a galactic black hole mass $M_{\rm BH} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_\odot$.

Indeed we observe now the important contribution of the Kerr parameter on the relativistic effect of periapsis advance.

A more dramatic example of an equatorial non-circular orbit closer to the the event horizon r_+ of the galactic rotating black hole is presented in table 13. From the table we see orbital periods in the range 105min-108min depending on the value of Kerr parameter and quite substantial relativistic periapsis advance. The calculated orbital frequencies are: 0.155mHz, 0.158mHz for $a = 0.52, 0.99616$ respectively. For comparison we repeated the calculation for a microquasar with black hole mass $M_{\rm BH} = 10M_\odot$ and for $a = 0.99616\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}, E = 0.9586853, L = \sqrt{13}\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$. The calculated orbital frequency has the value 64.2Hz.

---

[26] For the convenience of the reader we exhibit this exact formula in appendix E.

Orbital parameters	**Case 1: a=0.52**	**Case 2: a=0.99616**
r_P	207.748 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$	207.855$\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$
r_A	2005.825 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$	2005.813 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$
Periapsis advance:$\Delta\phi_{\rm E}^{\rm GTR}-2\pi$(arcs/rev.)	10082.4	9742.34
Periapsis advance ($\frac{\circ}{\rm yr}$) [13] Assumes $M_{\rm BH}=4.06\times10^6M_\odot$	19.05	18.4
Orbital Period $\rm P_E$ (days)	53.6	53.6

Table 12: Calculation of orbital data and the corresponding relativistic effects for a cusp equatorial non-circular stellar orbit around the galactic black hole, for two different values of the Kerr parameter with $L=\sqrt{380}\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}, E=0.99954853$. The exact expression $\Delta\phi_{\rm E}^{\rm GTR}$ is given by Equation (111) in [12].

Orbital parameters	**Case 1: a=0.52**	**Case 2: a=0.99616**
r_P	7.49878 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$	9.20674 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$
r_A	15.1106 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$	14.1781 $\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$
Periapsis advance:$\Delta\phi_{\rm E}^{\rm GTR}-2\pi$(arcs/rev.)	497734	309217
Orbital Period $\rm P_E$ (s) [14] Assumes $M_{\rm BH}=4.060\times10^6M_\odot$	6.462×10^3	6.319×10^3
Orbital frequency $\nu_{\rm E}$(mHz)	0.155	0.158

Table 13: Calculation of orbital data and the corresponding relativistic effects for a cusp equatorial non-circular stellar orbit around the galactic black hole for two different values of the Kerr parameter with $L=\sqrt{13}\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}, E=0.9586853$. The exact expression $\Delta\phi_{\rm E}^{\rm GTR}$ is given by Equation (111)in [12].

5 Conclusions

In this work we have investigated the motion of a test particle in Kerr spacetime with and without the cosmological constant.

More specifically, we have studied and derived for the first time exact solutions of the relativistic geodesic differential equations of motion for two important classes of possible orbits: a) non-spherical polar Kerr orbits and b) non-circular equatorial Kerr orbits in the presence of the cosmological constant.

In the former case the exact orbital solution is given in terms of the square of Jacobi's sinus amplitudinous elliptic function. Furthermore we have derived exact novel expressions for the Lense-Thirring effect, periapsis advance and orbital period. The resulting solution in closed analytic form for the Lense-Thirring gravitomagnetic effect has been expressed in terms of Appell's generalized hypergeometric function F_1. The exact formula for the relativistic periapsis advance has been expressed elegantly in terms of Abel's-Jacobi's amplitude function $am(u, \kappa^2)$ [33] with the argument u given by Gauß's hypergeometric function F and the initial conditions for the invariant parameters Q, E and the Kerr parameter. The closed form formulae for the orbit and the periapsis precession have been achieved by using the idea of inversion of elliptic integrals [32] and properties of the modular functions. The derived solution for the polar orbital period P has been expressed in terms of Appell's generalized hypergeometric function F_1 of two variables and Gauß's hypergeometric function F. This allowed us to define the LTP (frequency) of polar non-spherical orbits around a Kerr black hole. We also derived the exact solution for the orbital period $\mathrm{P_E}$ for the equatorial non-circular orbit of a test particle in Kerr spacetime. The resulting formula again rests in terms of hypergeometric functions of Appell and Gauß.

Assuming that the galactic centre is a rotating Kerr black hole as is indicated by recent observations of the galactic centre, we applied our exact solutions for the precise frame dragging and periapsis advance of stellar non-spherical polar Kerr orbits near the outer event horizon of the supermassive black hole. More specifically, we investigated orbits in the central milliarcsecond of the galactic centre of Milky Way. Such orbits have short orbital periods and therefore if detected they can provide a unique laboratory for measuring with high precision the phenomenon of periapsis advance and frame dragging at the strong field realm of general relativity. Such angular resolutions will be achieved by the GRAVITY experiment using VLBI techniques. We provided examples with orbital periods in the range 100min-54 days.

We have also calculated the frame dragging and periapsis advance effects for the more distant (with respect to the outer horizon of the Kerr galactic black hole) S-stars in the central arcsecond of Sgr A* for various values of the Kerr parameter and the other invariant parameters. Since the orbital periods in this case are in the range 14.8 yr-94 yr, more precise astrometric data from GRAVITY will allow the probing of relativistic effects on a longer ($\sim$ 10 year) time scale.

In a similar fashion the exact solution of non-spherical non-polar orbits not confined to the equatorial plane has been derived and was expressed in terms of Jacobi's sinus amplitudinous elliptic function.

We have also calculated an exact expression for the periastron advance of a test particle in a non-circular equatorial orbit around a rotating mass in the presence of the cosmological constant (Kerr-de Sitter gravitational field). The resulting expression was given in terms of Lauricella's generalized hypergeometric functions F_D of three and four variables. This solution can be used for investigating in a precise way the combined effect of the cosmological constant and the rotation of the central mass on the orbits of test particles. Our exact solutions of the equations of general relativity involve important functions of mathematical analysis and the exploration of their topological aspects constitutes a very interesting topic for future research [42]. We find very pleasing the productive and exciting interplay of observational astronomy of the galactic centre with the exact theoretical strong-field regime results presented in this work.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by DOE grant DE-FG03-95-Er-40917. In final stages, it was supported by a research fellowship at the Max-Planck-Institut für Physik in Munich. The author is grateful to the referees for their constructive comments and suggestions that helped improve the presentation of this work. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Dieter Lüst for support and frienship and to Dr J P Bramhall for fixing the problem with his arm. He also thanks his wife Rania and son Vassilios for support during rehabilitation and Professor Peter McIntyre for kind hospitality.

A Precise calculation of the orbital period of non-circular equatorial geodesics

Equation (57) can be written

$$c\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{E}} = 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A} E(r^2+a^2)\frac{(r^2+a^2)}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr - 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{aL(r^2+a^2)}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr - 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{a^2E}{\sqrt{R}}dr + 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{aL}{\sqrt{R}}dr \tag{61}$$

Let us outline the calculation of the above definite integral. The first integral in equation (61) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r_P}^{r_A} E(r^2+a^2)\frac{(r^2+a^2)}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr \\
= & \int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{E(r^2+a^2)}{\sqrt{R}}dr\left[1+\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}\frac{r}{c^2}}{r^2+a^2-2GM_{\rm BH}\frac{r}{c^2}}\right] \\
= & \int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{E(r^2+a^2)}{\sqrt{R}}dr+\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{E}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}r}{c^2}dr+4E\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{r^2}{\sqrt{R}\Delta}dr \\
= & I_a+4E\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}}-4E\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{a^2-2GM_{\rm BH}\frac{r}{c^2}}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where

$$I_a := \int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{E(r^2+a^2)}{\sqrt{R}}dr+\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{E}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}r}{c^2}dr \tag{63}$$

Now the term $4E\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}}$ using a dimensionless variable r'i.e. $r = r'\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}$ and the transformation

$$z=\frac{1}{\omega}\frac{r'-\alpha_{\mu+1}}{r'-\alpha_{\mu+2}}=\frac{1}{\omega}\frac{r'-\beta}{r'-\gamma} \tag{64}$$

with $\omega=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}$, is transformed into the integral representation of Gauß's hypergeometric function

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4E\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}} \\
= & 4E\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}}\int_0^1\frac{dz}{\sqrt{z(1-z)(1-\mu^2 z)}} \\
= & 4E\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\mu^2\right)\pi
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Subsequently let us calculate I_a

$$I_a=\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{drEr^2}{\sqrt{R}}+\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{drEa^2}{\sqrt{R}}+\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{drE}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}r}{c^2} \tag{66}$$

The second term in equation (66) cancels the third term in equation (61). Now the first term in (66) using the transformation (64) can be transformed into the integral representation of the generalized hypergeometric function F_1 of Appell

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{drEr^2}{\sqrt{R}} \\
= \quad & \frac{EGM_{\rm BH}\sqrt{\omega}}{c^2\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}} \int_0^1 \frac{dz(\gamma\omega z-\beta)^2}{(1-\omega z)^2\sqrt{z(1-z)(1-\mu^2 z)}} \\
= \quad & \frac{EGM_{\rm BH}\sqrt{\omega}}{c^2\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}}\beta^2\Bigg[\frac{\gamma^2\omega^2}{\beta^2}F_1\left(\frac{5}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},3,\omega,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(3)} \\
+ \quad & F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)} \\
- \quad & \frac{2\omega\gamma}{\beta}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},2,\frac{1}{2},2,\omega,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\Bigg]
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

In a similar fashion the integral $\int_{r_p}^{r_A} \frac{drE}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}r}{c^2}$ is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{r_p}^{r_A} \frac{drE}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{2GM_{\rm BH}r}{c^2} \\
= \quad & 2E\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\int_\beta^\alpha \frac{dr'r'}{\sqrt{R'}} \\
= \quad & \frac{2E\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\sqrt{\omega}\beta}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}}\int_0^1 \frac{dz(1-\frac{\gamma\omega}{\beta}z)}{(1-\omega z)\sqrt{z(1-z)(1-\mu^2 z)}} \\
= \quad & \frac{2E\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\sqrt{\omega}\beta}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}}\Bigg[F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)} \\
- \quad & \frac{\gamma\omega}{\beta}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\omega,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\Bigg] \\
= \quad & \frac{2E\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\sqrt{\omega}\beta}{\sqrt{(1-E^2)(\beta-\delta)(\alpha-\beta)}}F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},1,-1,\frac{1}{2},1,\omega,\frac{\gamma\omega}{\beta},\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where we used the transformation (64).

Finally, the last term in equation (62)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \quad 4E\left(\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\right)^2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{a^2-2GM_{\rm BH}\frac{r}{c^2}}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr\\
&= \quad \frac{4EGM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}\left[-\frac{\omega^{3/2}A_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\right.\\
&+ \quad \frac{\omega^{1/2}A_+}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}+\\
&- \quad \frac{\omega^{3/2}A_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)}\\
&+ \quad \left.\frac{\omega^{1/2}A_-}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{69}
$$

where $H_\pm := \sqrt{(1-E^2)(\alpha-\beta)}(r'_\pm-\beta)\sqrt{\beta-\delta}$. The moduli (variables) of the hypergeometric function of Appell are given by Eq.(36).

Likewise we integrate the rest of terms in equation (61) and obtain equation (58) for the orbital period of a non-circular equatorial orbit.

A.0.2 Calculation of the angular integrals

In this subsection we present some details of the computation that yields the polar orbital period (33).

The polar orbital period is given by the following definite integral

$$
c\mathrm{P} = 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{dr(r^2+a^2)}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}P_r - \frac{a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\int_0^{\Psi}\frac{d\Psi\cos^2\Psi}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2\Psi}} \tag{70}
$$

where $P_r = E(r^2+a^2)$. The radial integral is calculated in a similar manner as in the previous appendix. Below we give the details of the angular integration.

Using the latitude variable Ψ the angular integral becomes

$$
\frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\int_0^{\varphi}\frac{d\Psi\cos^2(\Psi)}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2(\Psi)}} = \frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\int_0^{\varphi}\frac{d\Psi(1-\sin^2(\Psi))}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2(\Psi)}} \tag{71}
$$

Now the first angular integral using the variable $x=\sin\Psi$ becomes

$$
\frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\int_0^{\varphi}\frac{d\Psi}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2(\Psi)}} = \frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\int_0^{\sin\varphi}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-\kappa'^2x^2)}} \tag{72}
$$

Now using a new variable z defined by $\frac{1}{\sin^2\varphi}x^2 = z$ our angular integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\int_0^{\varphi}\frac{d\Psi}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2(\Psi)}}=\frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\frac{\sin\varphi}{2}\int_0^1\frac{dz}{\sqrt{z(1-\sin^2\varphi\, z)(1-\kappa'^2\sin^2\varphi\, z)}}\\
=\;&\frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\frac{\sin\varphi}{2}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa'^2\sin^2\varphi\right)2=\frac{-a^2E}{\sqrt{Q}}\sin\varphi\, F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa'^2\sin^2\varphi\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

This result is used to get (31). Likewise the second angular integral is calculated elegantly in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions of Appell

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\varphi}\frac{d\Psi(-\sin^2\Psi)}{\sqrt{1-\kappa'^2\sin^2(\Psi)}}\\
=\;&-\frac{\sin^3\varphi}{2}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa'^2\sin^2\varphi\right)\frac{2}{3}\\
=\;&\frac{1}{\kappa'^2}\left[\sin\varphi\, F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa'^2\sin^2\varphi\right)-\sin\varphi\, F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\sin^2\varphi,\kappa'^2\sin^2\varphi\right)\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where in the calculation the following values of the Gamma function have been used: $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi},\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi}/2,\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)=\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4},\Gamma(1)=1$. Use of radial integrations such as (65),(67), (68),(69) and angular integrations (73),(74) yields (33).

B System of differential equations of Lauricella's multivariable hypergeometric function F_D

In this subsection we give some properties of the F_D function introduced in (43). The fourth Lauricella function of m-variables is given by [37]

$$\begin{aligned}
F_D(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta},\gamma;\mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots,n_m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha)_{n_1+\cdots+n_m}(\beta_1)_{n_1}\cdots(\beta_m)_{n_m}}{(\gamma)_{n_1+\cdots+n_m}(1)_{n_1}\cdots(1)_{n_m}}z_1^{n_1}\cdots z_m^{n_m}\\
&= \sum_{n_1,n_2,\cdots,n_m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\sum(\alpha,n_1+\cdots n_m)(\beta_1,n_1)\cdots(\beta_m,n_m)z_1^{n_1}\cdots z_m^{n_m}}{(\gamma,n_1+\cdots+n_m)n_1!\cdots n_m!}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z} &= (z_1,\cdots,z_m)\\
\boldsymbol{\beta} &= (\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_m)
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

The Pochhammer symbol $(\alpha)_m=(\alpha,m)$ is defined by

$$(\alpha)_m=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+m)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } m=0,\\ \alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+m-1), & \text{if } m=1,2,3,\cdots\end{cases}$$

It satisfies the following system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\cdots\quad & +z_n(1-z_i)\frac{\partial^2 F_D}{\partial z_i \partial z_n}+[\gamma-(\alpha+\beta_i+1)z_i]\frac{\partial F_D}{\partial z_i}- \\ - \quad & \beta_i z_1\frac{\partial F_D}{\partial z_1}-\cdots\beta_i z_{i-1}\frac{\partial F_D}{\partial z_{i-1}}-\beta_i z_{i+1}\frac{\partial F_D}{\partial z_{i+1}}-\cdots \\ & \cdots-\beta_i z_n\frac{\partial F_D}{\partial z_n}-\alpha\beta_i F_D=0 \quad (i=1,2,\cdots,n)\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

The series admits the following integral representation

$$F_D(\alpha,\boldsymbol{\beta},\gamma;\mathbf{z})=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)}\int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\alpha-1}(1-z_1t)^{-\beta_1}\cdots(1-z_mt)^{-\beta_m}dt \tag{77}$$

which is valid for $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha)>0, \mathrm{Re}(\gamma-\alpha)>0$. It converges absolutely inside the m-dimensional cuboid:

$$|z_j|<1, \qquad (j=1,\cdots,m) \tag{78}$$

C Exact orbital solution for non-spherical non-polar Kerr geodesics

C.1 Contribution to the change in azimuthal angle from radial integration for timelike orbits with $L \neq 0$

Using similar techniques as in section 2 we can calculate the contribution to the change of azimuthal angle from the radial integration for timelike non-spherical orbits with $L \neq 0$. The corresponding radial integral is $\Delta\phi^r := 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{-a^2L+2aE\frac{GM_{\mathrm{BH}}r}{c^2}}{\Delta\sqrt{R}}dr$ where the quartic polynomial R is defined in (5) for $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=0$. The above radial integral can be calculated exactly in terms of Appell's first generalized hypergeometric function F_1 of two variables

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\phi^r \quad = \quad & 2\Big[-\frac{\omega^{3/2}A_+^{NP}}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\ + \quad & \frac{\omega^{1/2}A_+^{NP}}{H_+}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_+^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}+ \\ - \quad & \frac{\omega^{3/2}A_-^{NP}}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(2)} \\ + \quad & \frac{\omega^{1/2}A_-^{NP}}{H_-}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa_-^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(1)}\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

where now the coefficients $A_{\pm}^{NP}$ are given by the expressions

$$A_{\pm}^{NP} := -\frac{\mp a^2 L \pm 2aE\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2}r_{\pm}}{r_{-}-r_{+}} \tag{80}$$

C.1.1 Orbital solution for timelike non-spherical orbits with $L \neq 0$

In this subsection and assuming a vanishing cosmological constant, we shall derive the exact solution for a non-spherical bound timelike orbit with non-vanishing parameters L and Q, i.e a non-spherical non-polar and non-equatorial orbit. The relevant differential equation is

$$\int^{r}\frac{dr}{\sqrt{R}} = \pm\int^{\theta}\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \tag{81}$$

where now the polynomials $R(r)$ and $\Theta(\theta)$ are defined in (5) for $\mathbf{\Lambda}= 0$. Using similar steps as in section 2.0.2 we obtain the exact orbital solution in terms of the square of Jacobi's sinus amplitudinous function

$$r = \frac{\beta-\gamma\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}{\rm sn}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)}}{2}\int\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}},\kappa^2\right)}{1-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}{\rm sn}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-E^2}\sqrt{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)}}{2}\int\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Theta}},\kappa^2\right)}\frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^2} \tag{82}$$

while the angular integration satisfies the equation

$$\left[2\times\frac{\sqrt{-a^2(-1+E^2)+L^2+Q+\sqrt{a^4(-1+E^2)^2-2a^2(-1+E^2)(L^2-Q)+(L^2+Q)^2}}}{2\sqrt{2}}\right.$$
$$\left.\times\quad\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right)\right] \tag{83}$$

where the modulus of Gauß's hypergeometric function is given in terms of the roots of the quartic polynomial $R(r)$ by the expression

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\gamma}\frac{\delta-\gamma}{\delta-\beta} \tag{84}$$

Solving for the angular variable Ψ we obtain the exact expression

$$\frac{\frac{a^2(1-E^2)}{4}\sin^2\Psi}{e_2-e_3} = {\rm sn}^2\left[2\sqrt{e_1-e_3}\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-E^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha-\gamma}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta-\delta}}\frac{\pi}{2}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2\right),\kappa^{2\prime\prime}\right] \tag{85}$$

where the three roots e_i and the modulus $\kappa^{2\prime\prime}$ are given by equations (82),(83) in [11].

For $L = 0$, i.e. the case of polar orbits equation (85) reduces to equation (29).

D Orbital period for equatorial non-circular Kerr geodesics in the presence of the cosmological constant

The relevant differential equation is

$$c\frac{dt}{dr}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{\Xi^2(r^2+a^2)\left[(r^2+a^2)E-aL\right]}{\Delta_r}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{R}}a\Xi^2(aE-L) \tag{86}$$

where the sextic polynomial is defined in (5) with $Q=0$. Then the orbital period $P_E^{\Lambda}$is given by the following integral

$$cP_E^{\Lambda}=2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}(-)\frac{1}{\sqrt{R}}a\Xi^2(aE-L)dr+2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{1}{\sqrt{R}}\frac{\Xi^2(r^2+a^2)\left[(r^2+a^2)E-aL\right]}{\Delta_r}dr \tag{87}$$

The first integral is given by the following exact expression

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a\Xi^2(aE-L)}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H}\sqrt{\omega}\Bigg[&-F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma(1/2)^2}{\Gamma(1)}\\ &+\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2)}\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

where H,ω and the arguments of the generalized hypergeometric function of Lauricella are given by equations (44),(45), (46) respectively. Now we calculate exactly the second term in the integration. First we have the integral

$$I_2:=2\int_{r_P}^{r_A}\frac{-aL\Xi^2(r^2+a^2)}{\sqrt{R}\Delta_r}dr \tag{89}$$

Using partial fractions

$$\frac{-aL\Xi^2(r^2+a^2)}{\Delta_r}=\frac{A^{1\prime}}{r-r_\Lambda^+}+\frac{A^{2\prime}}{r-r_\Lambda^-}+\frac{A^{3\prime}}{r-r_+}+\frac{A^{4\prime}}{r-r_-} \tag{90}$$

the coefficients $A^{i\prime},i=1,\cdots,4$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}A^{1\prime}&=\frac{3(a^3L\Xi^2+aL\alpha_1^2\Xi^2)}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\alpha_1-\delta_1)\Lambda}\\ A^{2\prime}&=-\frac{3(a^3L\Xi^2+aL\delta_1^2\Xi^2)}{(\alpha_1-\delta_1)(-\beta_1+\delta_1)(-\gamma_1+\delta_1)\Lambda}\\ A^{3\prime}&=-\frac{3(a^3L\Xi^2+aL\beta_1^2\Xi^2)}{(-\alpha_1+\beta_1)(-\beta_1+\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\delta_1)\Lambda}\\ A^{4\prime}&=\frac{3(a^3L\Xi^2+aL\gamma_1^2\Xi^2)}{(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\gamma_1)(\gamma_1-\delta_1)\Lambda}\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

Thus the integral I_2 in closed analytic form will be given by generalized Lauricella hypergeometric functions F_D of four variables similar to those in eq.(50) and (53).

In a similar fashion, the partial fraction expansion coefficients $A^{i\prime\prime}$ for the third integral

$$I_3 := 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{\Xi^2 a^2 (r^2+a^2)E}{\sqrt{R}\Delta_r} dr \tag{92}$$

are given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{1\prime\prime} &= -\frac{3(a^4 E\Xi^2 + a^2 E\alpha_1^2 \Xi^2}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\alpha_1-\delta_1)\Lambda} \\
A^{2\prime\prime} &= \frac{3(a^4 E\Xi^2 + a^2 E\delta_1^2 \Xi^2}{(\alpha_1-\delta_1)(-\beta_1+\delta_1)(-\gamma_1+\delta_1)\Lambda} \\
A^{3\prime\prime} &= \frac{3(a^4 E\Xi^2 + a^2 E\beta_1^2 \Xi^2}{(-\alpha_1+\beta_1)(-\beta_1+\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\delta_1)\Lambda} \\
A^{4\prime\prime} &= -\frac{3(a^4 E\Xi^2 + a^2 E\gamma_1^2 \Xi^2}{(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\gamma_1)(\gamma_1-\delta_1)\Lambda}
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

The fourth relevant integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &:= 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{\Xi^2 r^2 (r^2+a^2)E}{\sqrt{R}\Delta_r} dr \\
&= 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{3}{\Lambda}\Xi^2 E\left[-1+\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_r}\right]\frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} dr
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

The first term in I_4 is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&- 2\int_{r_P}^{r_A} \frac{3}{\Lambda}\Xi^2 E \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} dr \\
&= \frac{2\frac{3}{\Lambda}\Xi^2 E}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}H}\sqrt{\omega}\left[-F_D\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma(1/2)^2}{\Gamma(1)}\right. \\
&+ \left.\omega F_D\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},2,\kappa^2,\lambda^2,\mu^2\right)\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2)}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

The partial fraction coefficients for the second term in I_4 are

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{1'''} &= -\frac{9(a^2c^2E\Xi^2 - 2EGM_{\rm BH}\alpha_1\Xi^2 + c^2E\alpha_1^2\Xi^2)}{c^2(\alpha_1-\beta_1)(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\alpha_1-\delta_1)\Lambda^2} \\
A^{2'''} &= \frac{9(a^2c^2E\Xi^2 - 2EGM_{\rm BH}\delta_1\Xi^2 + +c^2E\delta_1^2\Xi^2)}{c^2(\alpha_1-\delta_1)(-\beta_1+\delta_1)(-\gamma_1+\delta_1)\Lambda^2} \\
A^{3'''} &= \frac{9(a^2c^2E\Xi^2 - 2EGM_{\rm BH}\beta_1\Xi^2 + +c^2E\beta_1^2\Xi^2)}{c^2(-\alpha_1+\beta_1)(-\beta_1+\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\delta_1)\Lambda^2} \\
A^{4'''} &= -\frac{9(a^2c^2E\Xi^2 - 2EGM_{\rm BH}\gamma_1\Xi^2 + c^2E\gamma_1^2\Xi^2)}{c^2(\alpha_1-\gamma_1)(\beta_1-\gamma_1)(\gamma_1-\delta_1)\Lambda^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

E Periapsis advance for the orbits of S-stars

In this appendix in order to gain an appreciation of the magnitude of the periapsis advance for the S-stars we perform a precise calculation of the effect a) using the exact formula for equatorial non-circular orbits produced in [12] and b) using the exact, closed form formula, equation (29), for non spherical polar orbits derived in this work. In the former case, we assume that the angular momentum axis of the orbit is co-aligned with the spin axis of the black hole. In this case the effect of the spin of the black hole on the periastron precession is maximized.

For the convenience of the reader we exhibit below the exact formula derived in [12], which is used in the first part of the current calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_{\rm E}^{\rm GTR} - 2\pi &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{u'_1-u'_3}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_S(L-aE)^2}{\left(\frac{GM}{c^2}\right)^3}}}\left\{\frac{A_+}{\frac{GMr_+}{c^2a^2}-u'_3}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{u'_2-u'_3}{\frac{GMr_+}{c^2a^2}-u'_3},\frac{u'_2-u'_3}{u'_1-u'_3}\right)\pi\right. \\
&+ \left.\frac{A_-}{\frac{GMr_-}{c^2a^2}-u'_3}F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{u'_2-u'_3}{\frac{GMr_-}{c^2a^2}-u'_3},\frac{u'_2-u'_3}{u'_1-u'_3}\right)\pi\right\} - 2\pi
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

The derivation of the above formula as well as the definition of the coefficients $A_\pm$ and the cubic polynomial whose roots are u'_i can be found in [12], pages 4412-4413.

Our free parameters are the Kerr parameter a and the constants of integration L, E. For a fixed initial choice of values for L, E and for different values of the Kerr parameter which include those supported from recent observations we calculated precisely the resulting periapsis advance, using the exact equations (97). The results are displayed in tables 14,15, 16. For comparison we note that for Mercury the perihelion advance for a particular choice of initial conditions and neglecting the rotation of the Sun (see table 1 in [6]), is: $0.103517\,\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm revolution}$. Thus we conclude that the theoretically calculated values for the periastron advance for the S-stars, using (97), displayed in tables 14,15, are 521-7003 times bigger than that of Mercury after one complete revolution. From the results of tables 14,15 we can see the effect of the rotation of the black hole. The effect is small and is of the order of a few arcseconds per revolution, maximized for the stars S2 and S14. From tables 14 and 15 we see that S2 and S14 come closer to the black hole than any other S-star at periastron passage. The total relativistic periapsis advance decreases by increasing a for fixed values of L, E. We repeated the analysis for different values of L, E. The results are presented in tables 17 and 18. Our results for the periapsis and apoapsis distances are in agreement with the data in [18].

Let us compare now the results for the periapsis advance in Tables 14,15 with the predictions using the exact formula, equation (29). Indeed for the star S2, we calculated: $\Delta\Psi^{\rm GTR} = 683.916\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}, 683.894\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}$ respectively for $a = 0.52, 0.9939$ for the values of Q, E in Tables 1,2. For S14 we derived the periapsis advance $\Delta\Psi^{\rm GTR} = 731.855\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}, 731.83\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}$ respectively for $a = 0.52, 0.9939$ for the values of Q, E in Tables 1,2. This result is expected since for polar orbits the contribution of the spin of the black hole is minimal on the effect of periapsis advance. Our results of the calculation of periapsis advance using the closed form formula (29), for the S-stars are displayed in Table 19. The predictions for the periapsis and apoapsis distances are the same as those in Tables 14,15.

On the other hand, let us compare the results of tables for the periastron advance in Tables 17 and 18 with those obtained using (29). For S2 we derived $\Delta\Psi^{\rm GTR} = 738.445\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}, 738.419\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}$ for $a = 0.52, 0.9939$ respectively and for the choice of values for the parameters Q, E as those displayed in table 5. For S14 we derived $\Delta\Psi^{\rm GTR} = 926.551\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}, 926.511\frac{\rm arcs}{\rm rev}$ for $a = 0.52, 0.9939$ respectively and for the choice of invariant parameters Q, E for S14 as those exhibited in table 5. [27]

The astrometric capability of GRAVITY will also allow deriving very accurate orbits for the S-stars. As we saw in the main text the orbital periods in this case are in the range of 14.8yr-94yr, and thus more precise astrometric data from GRAVITY will allow the probing of general relativistic effects on a longer (decade) time scale.

---

[27] For non-spherical non-polar orbits with orbital inclination $0^\circ < i < 90^\circ$ one expects that the resulting periapsis advance has a value between the ones calculated using formula (29) and (97) (assuming the 3 orbital configurations, polar, non-polar and equatorial have the same eccentricity and semi-major axis). A detailed investigation of this matter is however beyond the scope of this paper and will be analysed elsewhere.

Star	L	E	$\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi$	Periapsis	Apoapsis
S1	267.778473	0.999993919	$54.0874 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	3.17×10^{16} cm	6.69×10^{16} cm
S2	75.4539876	0.999979485	$677.643 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.82×10^{15} cm	2.74×10^{16} cm
S8	96.1191430	0.999992385	$418.185 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	2.87×10^{15} cm	7.58×10^{16} cm
S12	103.1599	0.999991213	$363.183 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	3.35×10^{15} cm	6.49×10^{16} cm
S13	192.08634	0.99998856	$105.016 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.58×10^{16} cm	3.65×10^{16} cm
S14	72.9456205	0.999988863	$724.911 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.64×10^{15} cm	5.22×10^{16} cm

Table 14: Periastron precession for the S-stars in the central arcsecond of the galactic centre. The Kerr parameter of the galactic black hole is $a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.52 \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. The values of the parameter L are in units of $\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. We assume a central mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

Star	L	E	$\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi$	Periapsis	Apoapsis
S1	267.778473	0.999993919	$53.9597 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	3.17×10^{16} cm	6.69×10^{16} cm
S2	75.4539876	0.999979485	$671.947 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.82×10^{15} cm	2.74×10^{16} cm
S8	96.1191430	0.999992385	$415.429 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	2.87×10^{15} cm	7.58×10^{16} cm
S12	103.1599	0.999991213	$360.953 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	3.35×10^{15} cm	6.49×10^{16} cm
S13	192.08634	0.99998856	$104.670 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.58×10^{16} cm	3.65×10^{16} cm
S14	72.9456205	0.999988863	$718.607 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.64×10^{15} cm	5.22×10^{16} cm

Table 15: Periastron precession for the S-stars in the central arcsecond of the galactic centre. The Kerr parameter of the galactic black hole is $a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9939 \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. The values of the parameter L are in units of $\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. We assume a central mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

Star	case 1:($a = 0.9953$) $\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi$	case 2:($a = 0.99979$) $\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi$
S1	$53.9593 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$53.9581 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S2	$671.930 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$671.876 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S8	$415.420 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$415.394 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S12	$360.9469 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$360.926 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S13	$104.669 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$104.666 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S14	$718.589 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$718.529 \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$

Table 16: Periastron precession for the S-stars in the central arcsecond of the galactic centre, using the exact, closed-form formula, equation (97), for two high values for the spin of the black hole. The values for the invariant parameters L, E are the same as those in tables: 14,15. We assume a central mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

Star	L	E	$\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi$	Periapsis	Apoapsis
S2	72.6190898	0.999979145	$731.407\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.68×10^{15} cm	2.71×10^{16} cm
S14	64.8441485	0.999987653	$916.661\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.29×10^{15} cm	4.73×10^{16} cm

Table 17: Periastron precession for the S-stars in the central arcsecond of the galactic centre for different values of the parameters L, E than those of table 14. The Kerr parameter of the galactic black hole is $a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.52\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. We assume a central mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

Star	L	E	$\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{GTR}} - 2\pi$	Periapsis	Apoapsis
S2	72.6190898	0.999979145	$725.018\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.68×10^{15} cm	2.71×10^{16} cm
S14	64.8441485	0.999987653	$907.686\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	1.29×10^{15} cm	4.73×10^{16} cm

Table 18: Periastron precession for the S-stars in the central arcsecond of the galactic centre for different values of the parameters L, E than those of table 14. The Kerr parameter of the galactic black hole is $a_{\text{Galactic}} = 0.9939\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$. We assume a central mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

Star	case 1:($a = 0.52$) $\Delta\Psi^{\mathrm{GTR}}$	case 2:($a = 0.9939$) $\Delta\Psi^{\mathrm{GTR}}$
S1	$54.2277\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$54.2275\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S2	$683.916\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$683.894\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S8	$421.218\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$421.209\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S12	$365.636\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$365.63\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S13	$105.396\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$105.395\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$
S14	$731.855\frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$	$731.83\ \frac{\text{arcs}}{\text{revolution}}$

Table 19: Periastron precession for the S-stars in the central arcsecond of the galactic centre, using the exact, closed-form formula, equation (29), for two different values for the spin of the black hole. The values for the invariant parameters Q, E are the same as those in tables: 1,2. We assume a central mass $M_{\text{BH}} = 4.06 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$.

References

[1] A. Einstein, *Erklärung der Perihelbewegung des Merkur aus der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*,(1915) 831.

[2] K. Schwarzschild, *Über das Gravitationfeld eines Massenpunktes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie.* Sitzungsberichte der Königlichen Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin) 1916,189-196

[3] R. P. Kerr, *Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics*, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, (1963),237

[4] H. Ohanian & R. Ruffini, Gravitation & Spacetime, Norton and Company, New York, 1994.

[5] M. J. Rees, *Black Holes in the Real Universe and their prospects as Probes of Relativistic Gravity*, astro-ph/0401365

[6] G. V. Kraniotis, S. B. Whitehouse *Compact calculation of the perihelion precession of Mercury in general relativity, the cosmological constant and Jacobi's inversion problem*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **20**, (2003) 4817-4835.

[7] N.A. Bahcall, J.P. Ostriker, S. Perlmutter and P.J. Steinhardt, Science 284(1999)1481

[8] S. Perlmutter et al. Astrophys.J. 517(1999),565; A.V. Filippenko et al, Astron.J. 116(1998)1009

[9] P. deBernardis et al, Nature 404(2000)955; A.H. Jaffe et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.86(2000)3475

[10] G. V. Kraniotis and S. B. Whitehouse, *General relativity, the cosmological constant and modular forms*, Class. Quantum Grav.**19** (2002)5073-5100, [arXiv:gr-qc/0105022]

[11] G. V. Kraniotis, *Precise relativistic orbits in Kerr and Kerr-(anti) de Sitter spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav. **21** (2004) 4743-4769, [arXiv:gr-qc/0405095]

[12] G. V. Kraniotis, *Frame dragging and bending of light in Kerr and Kerr-(anti) de Sitter spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav. **22** (2005) 4391-4424, [arXiv:gr-qc/0507056]

[13] R. Schödel *et al* Nature 419,(2002)694-696, K. Gebhardt, Nature 419, (2002) 675-676

[14] A M Ghez et al, ApJ **586** (2003) L127-L131

[15] R. Genzel et al, *Near-Infrared flares from accreting gas around the supermassive black hole at the Galactic Centre*, Nature, Vol.425 (2003), 934-937.

[16] B. Aschenbach, N. Grosso, D. Porquet and P. Predehl, *X-ray flares reveal mass and angular momentum of the Galactic Centre black hole*, astro-ph/0401589, *Astron. Astrophys.* **417** 2004 pp 71-78

[17] F. Eisenhauer et al, *GRAVITY: The AO-Assisted, Two-Object Beam-Combiner Instrument for the VLTI*, astro-ph/0508607

[18] F. Eisenhauer et al, *Sinfoni in the galactic centre: Young stars and infrared flares in the central light-month*, ApJ**628** (2005), 246-259.

[19] T. Paumard et al, ApJ**643**, (2006) 1011-1035

[20] Y. Levin and A M Beloborodov, ApJ**590**, (2003) L33

[21] M. J. Reid et al, ApJ**524** (1999), 816-823.

[22] F. Eisenhauer et al, *A geometric determination of the distance to the galactic centre*, ApJ**597** (2003) L121-L124

[23] M. J. Reid, Annu. Rev. Astron.Astrophys. 31 (1993) 345-72; J. H. Oort, L. Plaut, Astron.& Astrophys.**41** (1975), 71-86

[24] J. M. Bardeen, W. M. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, *Rotating black holes: Locally, non-rotating frames, energy extraction and scalar synchrotron radiation*, Astrophys.J.**178**, (1972) 347-369; F. Melia, C. Bromley, S. Liu and C. K. Walker, *Measuring the black hole spin in* SgrA*, Astrophys.J.**554**, L37-L40 (2001)

[25] R. H. Boyer and T. G. Price, *An interpretation of the Kerr metric in general relativity*, Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc.(1965),**61**, 531

[26] J. Lense, H. Thirring, *Über den Einfluß der Eigenrotation der Zentralkörper auf die Bewegung der Planeten und Monde nach der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie*, Phys.Zeitsch.**19**, (1918) 156

[27] B. Aschenbach, *Astron. Astrophys.* **425** 2004 pp 1075-1082, astro-ph/0406545

[28] Z. Stuchlík, P. Slaní, G. Török, M. Abramowicz, Phys.Rev.D 71, 024037 (2005); Z. Stuchlík, P. Slaní, G. Török,Proceedings of Science, VI Microquasar Workshop: *Microquasars and Beyond*, Sept.2006, Como, Italy

[29] R. H. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, *Maximal Analytic Extension of the Kerr metric*, Journal of Math.Phys.8 (1967), 265-281.

[30] Z. Stuchlik, M. Calvani, *Null Geodesics in Black Hole metrics with non-zero Cosmological Constant*, General Relativity and Gravitation, **23** (1991), 507-519; P. Slany and Z. Stuchlik, Class. Quantum Grav. **22** (2005) 3623-3651

[31] B. Carter, *Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrodinger Separable Solutions of Einstein's Equations* Commun.Math.Phys. 10, (1968), 280-310; M. Demianski, Acta Astronomica, Vol.23(1973) 197-231; S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter and M. M. Taylor-Robinson, Phys.Rev.D 59 (1999), 064005

[32] N. H. Abel, *Précis d'une théorie des fonctions elliptiques*, Crelle's Journal fuer Math. **3**, (1828) 236-277; Crelle's Journal fuer Math.**4**, (1829) 309-348

[33] J. Jacobi, *Note sur les fonctions elliptiques*, Crelle's Journal für Math. **3** 1828, 192-195

[34] B. Carter, *Global Structure of the Kerr family of Gravitational fields*, Phys.Rev. **174**, (1968), p 1559-1571

[35] C T Cunningham and J M Bardeen, **ApJ** 173 (1972) L137

[36] M J Rees, Nature 333 (1998) 523

[37] G. Lauricella, *Sulle funzioni ipergeometriche a più variabili*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **7** (1893), 111-158

[38] P. Appell, *Sur les fonctions hypergéométriques de deux variables*, Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées de Liouville, VIII (1882) p 173-216

[39] G. Török, M. Abramowicz, W. Kluźniak, Z. Stuchlík, astro-ph/0603847

[40] E. Stoghianidis and D. Tsoubelis, *Polar Orbits in the Kerr Space-Time*, General Relativity and Gravitation, Vol.19 (1987),p 1235-1249; E. Stogianidis and D. Tsoubelis, Phys.Lett.A **116** 1986, 213-215

[41] E. E. Kummer, *Über die hypergeometrische Reihe* $1+\frac{\alpha\beta}{1.\gamma}x+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\beta(\beta+1)}{1.2.\gamma(\gamma+1)}x^2+\cdots$ Crelle's Journal.f. Math.**15** (1836) 39-172

[42] G.V. Kraniotis, Work in progress.